

**UNIFORM LAW CONFERENCE OF CANADA**

**CIVIL SECTION**

**REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CANADA ON  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW  
2025**

**Halifax, Nova Scotia  
August 10–14, 2025**



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>I. COOPERATION AND CONSULTATIONS.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>A. ADVISORY GROUP ON PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B. UNIFORM LAW CONFERENCE OF CANADA .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>C. PRIVATE SECTOR AND LAW FACULTIES.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>II. INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>A. THE HAGUE CONFERENCE ON PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>B. UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>C. THE INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR THE UNIFICATION OF PRIVATE LAW.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>D. WORLD BANK.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>E. COMMONWEALTH .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>F. THE ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>III. EXPLORATORY WORK AND INTERNATIONAL NEGOTIATIONS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>A. INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL LAW .....</b>	<b>6</b>
a. Data provision contracts (UNCITRAL).....	6
<b>B. JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS .....</b>	<b>6</b>
a. Jurisdiction Project (HCCH).....	6
<b>C. INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY LAW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
a. Status of Children Project (HCCH) .....	7
<b>IV. INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS APPLICABLE TO CANADA AND POST-IMPLEMENTATION WORK .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>A. INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL LAW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
a. Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985, amended in 2006) (UNCITRAL) - Uniform International Commercial Arbitration Act (ULCC).....	7
b. Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (World Bank).....	8
c. Canada-UK Convention on the Reciprocal Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters.....	8
d. Convention on International Interests in Mobile Equipment and its Protocols (UNIDROIT).....	9
<b>B. JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
a. 1961 Convention Abolishing the Requirement of Legalisation for Foreign Public Documents (HCCH) .....	9
b. 1965 Convention on Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil and Commercial Matters (HCCH).....	10
<b>C. INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY LAW .....</b>	<b>10</b>
a. 2007 Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance (HCCH) .....	10

**ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW**

b.	1980 Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (HCCH) .....	11
c.	Convention on Protection of Children and Co-operation in Respect of Intercountry Adoption (HCCH).....	12
<b>D.</b>	<b>PROTECTION OF PROPERTY.....</b>	<b>13</b>
a.	Convention Providing a Uniform Law on the Form of an International Will (UNIDROIT) .....	13
b.	Convention on the Law Applicable to Trusts and on their Recognition (HCCH) .....	13
<b>V.</b>	<b>OTHER INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS OF INTEREST.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL LAW .....</b>	<b>14</b>
a.	Model Clauses on Specialized Express Dispute Resolution (SPEDR Model Clauses) (UNCITRAL) .....	14
b.	Early dismissal and preliminary determination in international commercial arbitration (UNCITRAL).....	14
c.	Negotiable Cargo Documents (UNCITRAL) .....	15
d.	UNCITRAL/UNIDROIT Model Law on Warehouse Receipts.....	15
e.	Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation and related modifications to the Model Law on International Commercial Mediation (UNCITRAL) .....	16
f.	United Nations Convention on the Use of Electronic Communications in International Contracts (UNCITRAL) – ULCC Uniform Electronic Communications Convention Implementation Act.....	16
g.	Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods and Protocol (UNCITRAL).....	17
h.	Model Law on Automated Contracting (MLAC) (UNCITRAL).....	17
i.	Convention on the Assignment of Receivables in International Trade (UNCITRAL) .....	18
j.	Identity Management and Trust Services (UNCITRAL).....	18
<b>B.</b>	<b>JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS .....</b>	<b>19</b>
a.	2019 Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments in Civil or Commercial Matters (HCCH) .....	19
b.	2005 Convention on Choice of Court Agreements (HCCH) .....	20
c.	Model Law on Recognition and Enforcement of Insolvency-related Judgments (UNCITRAL) .....	20
d.	Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters (HCCH) .....	21
<b>C.</b>	<b>INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY LAW .....</b>	<b>21</b>
a.	1996 Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children (HCCH) .....	21
b.	Convention on the International Protection of Adults (HCCH).....	22
<b>D.</b>	<b>PROTECTION OF PROPERTY.....</b>	<b>23</b>
a.	Convention on Stolen or Illegally Exported Cultural Objects (UNIDROIT) .....	23
<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>23</b>	

# Report of the Department of Justice Canada

August 2025

## INTRODUCTION

1. This annual report to the Uniform Law Conference of Canada (ULCC) provides an overview of the activities undertaken by the Department of Justice Canada (Justice Canada) in the area of international private law. It outlines key developments in federal-provincial-territorial and international cooperation, highlights ongoing initiatives led by international organizations, and details the status of implementation of international private law instruments in Canada. The report has been prepared by the Constitutional, Administrative and International Law Section of Justice Canada for presentation at the annual meeting of the ULCC Civil Section.
2. Justice Canada plays a central role in the development of policy for international private law instruments, representing Canada during the development phase of these instruments and leads the coordination of their implementation in Canada.

## I. COOPERATION AND CONSULTATIONS

3. Justice Canada's work in international private law is guided by close cooperation and consultation with provincial and territorial governments, which hold primary jurisdiction over legal matters in this field. The Department also values and incorporates input from stakeholders, which plays a significant role in shaping its initiatives and priorities.

### A. ADVISORY GROUP ON PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW

4. The Advisory Group on Private International Law (AGPIL) is a federal-provincial-territorial (FPT) body established by Justice Canada. It comprises six representatives from the provinces and territories—representing British Columbia, the Prairie Provinces, Ontario, Quebec, the Atlantic Provinces, and the three Territories—as well as federal representatives from Justice Canada. Representatives from Global Affairs Canada (GAC) also participate on an *ad hoc* basis. AGPIL meets twice annually and provides Justice Canada with ongoing, essential advice on the provincial and territorial dimensions of international private law initiatives, including identifying areas requiring further work on issues of particular interest to the provinces and territories.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

**B. UNIFORM LAW CONFERENCE OF CANADA**

5. Instituted in 1918, the ULCC provides independent and informed analysis and recommendations for the harmonization and reform of laws in Canada. The ULCC constitutes the key mechanism for facilitating the implementation of international private law instruments in Canada via the development of uniform implementing legislation that can be adopted by governments in Canada. Thus far, the ULCC has adopted over 20 uniform acts to implement international private law instruments. Justice Canada represents the federal government at the ULCC and actively participates in its work.

**C. PRIVATE SECTOR AND LAW FACULTIES**

6. Justice Canada regularly engages in consultations with the private sector—including the Canadian Bar Association—as well as with Canadian academics and other stakeholders. These consultations inform Canada’s participation in ongoing and proposed projects of international organizations such as the Hague Conference on Private International Law (HCCH), the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL), and the International Institute for the Unification of Private Law (UNIDROIT).

**II. INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS**

**A. THE HAGUE CONFERENCE ON PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW**

7. The Hague Conference on Private International Law (HCCH), which held its first session in 1893, has 92 Members, including Canada since 1968. Its objective is to work toward the progressive unification of rules of private international law. Since its inception, over 40 conventions and instruments have been adopted under its auspices. The Council on General Affairs and Policy (CGAP), the governing body of the organization, is primarily responsible for the work programme. Further information on the HCCH is available at [www.hcch.net](http://www.hcch.net).

8. Over the past year, Canada has actively participated in the work of the Hague Conference on Private International Law (HCCH) across a range of areas, including civil and commercial matters, judicial cooperation, and individual and family law. These activities are detailed in Section III. In addition to these efforts, the HCCH is currently examining several emerging issues, such as the applicable law and jurisdictional questions related to the cross-border use and transfer of central bank digital currencies. It is also exploring private international law implications arising from carbon markets and digital tokens, collaborating with UNCITRAL and UNIDROIT on matters related to insolvency, and monitoring developments in areas such as intellectual property and the digital economy.

9. Canada is party to six HCCH conventions: the *Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters* (in force in Canada 89/05/01); the *Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction* (in force in Canada 83/12/01); the *Convention on the Law Applicable to Trusts and on their Recognition* (in force in Canada 93/01/01); the *Convention on Protection of Children and Cooperation in Respect of Intercountry Adoption* (in force in Canada 97/04/01); the *Convention Abolishing the Requirement of Legalisation for Foreign Public Documents* (in force in Canada 24/01/11); and the *Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance* (in force in Canada 24/02/01).

10. In addition, on May 23, 2017, Canada signed the *Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children*. Justice Canada continues its efforts to ensure the effective implementation and application of HCCH instruments in Canada.

## **B. UNITED NATIONS COMMISSION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW**

11. The United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL), the core legal body within the United Nations (UN) system in the field of international trade law, aims to further the progressive harmonization and unification of the law of international trade. To reach this goal, UNCITRAL uses various instruments: it has prepared or is responsible for 15 conventions, 19 model laws, model provisions, uniform rules, and several legal and legislative guides. Further information on UNCITRAL can be found at: [www.uncitral.org](http://www.uncitral.org).

12. UNCITRAL is made up of 70 member states, including Canada. These member states represent various geographic regions and the principal economic systems and legal traditions of the world. Members are elected for a six-year term by the UN General Assembly. Other states and international governmental and non-governmental organizations may participate as observers in UNCITRAL's annual meetings and its working groups.

13. This year, UNCITRAL adopted several texts at its annual session held in July including the *United Nations Convention on Negotiable Cargo Documents* and the *Asset Tracing and Recovery in Insolvency Proceedings: Toolkit and Background Notes* and a guidance text on legal issues relating to the use of distributed ledger technology. UNCITRAL confirmed that it is continuing its work on the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards in electronic form, draft default rules for data provision contracts, and investor-state dispute settlement reform. It is also continuing its stocktaking exercise on the latest trends regarding international dispute resolution (DR) and the development of new forms of DR particularly

## ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

those related to arbitration and mediation practices. UNCITRAL will also continue its work on the topic of applicable law in insolvency proceedings and review the updated draft of the 2009 UNCITRAL Practice Guide on Cross-Border Insolvency Cooperation and on the explanatory note for the draft convention on negotiable cargo documents. During the year, colloquiums will be held to discuss possible future work.

14. Canada is party to three UN conventions relating to international commercial law: the *Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards* (in force in Canada 86/08/10), the *United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods* (in force in Canada 92/05/01), and the *United Nations Convention on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration* (in force in Canada 17/10/18).

15. Legislation implementing the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985) has been adopted in Canada, and the 2006 revisions to the Model Law have been incorporated in the 2014 ULCC Uniform International Commercial Arbitration Act, which applies to British Columbia and Ontario. Legislation based on the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) has been adopted federally, and legislation drawing on the UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996) has been adopted by all Canadian jurisdictions.

### **C. THE INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR THE UNIFICATION OF PRIVATE LAW**

16. The International Institute for the Unification of Private Law (UNIDROIT), located in Rome, was set up in 1926 as an auxiliary organ of the League of Nations. Since 1940, it has been an independent inter-governmental organization. There are 65 member states, including Canada, which joined the organization in 1968. UNIDROIT's mandate is to modernize, harmonize and co-ordinate private and commercial law as between states. Since its creation, UNIDROIT has drafted more than 70 studies, model laws and conventions on various private law subjects including sales, international leasing and factoring, transport, security interests, franchising and cultural property. Further information on UNIDROIT can be found at: [www.unidroit.org](http://www.unidroit.org).

UNIDROIT's proposed work programme for 2026–2028 includes the continuity of work on best practices for effective enforcement; the legal nature of verified carbon credits; on the modernization, harmonization and standardization of investment contracts between states and state-owned enterprises and private foreign investors; private art collections; a guide on the legal structure of agricultural enterprises; as well as corporate sustainability and due diligence in global value chains. It also proposes new work on Legal and Regulatory Aspects of Investment-Based Crowdfunding (debt and equity), on the insolvency of

insurance enterprises and harmonization of national regimes, and construction and engineering contract law.

17. Canada is party to two of the thirteen UNIDROIT conventions and to one protocol: the *Convention Providing a Uniform Law on the Form of an International Will* (in force in Canada 78/02/09) and the *Convention on International Interests in Mobile Equipment* and its related *Aircraft Protocol* (in force in Canada 13/04/01).

#### **D. WORLD BANK**

18. The World Bank's role in the field of international private law stems in part from the creation of the International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) under the *Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States* (ICSID Convention) (in force in Canada 13/01/12). The World Bank also proposes projects of joint interest to UNCITRAL and UNIDROIT and participates in related work. Further information on the World Bank and the ICSID Convention can be found at: [www.worldbank.org](http://www.worldbank.org).

#### **E. COMMONWEALTH**

19. As part of its support to meetings of Law Ministries of the Commonwealth, the Commonwealth Secretariat carries out work in international private law from time to time. For example, Canada supported work, which is now completed, on draft model legislation on the recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments. The Commonwealth Secretariat is now undertaking work on reforms to civil procedure law.

#### **F. THE ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES**

20. The Organization of American States (OAS), with 35 member states, including Canada, provides a forum for legal, political, economic, social and cultural cooperation in the Americas. The OAS Inter-American Conference on Private International Law (CIDIP) has developed over 25 private international law conventions. Since the seventh and last CIDIP in 2009, the Inter-American Juridical Committee, which serves as an advisory body within the OAS, has taken on a more active role in harmonizing private law through the development of non-binding instruments. Further information on the OAS can be found at: [www.oas.org](http://www.oas.org). Canada is not party to any of the OAS international private law conventions.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

**III. EXPLORATORY WORK AND INTERNATIONAL NEGOTIATIONS**

**A. INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL LAW**

**a. Data provision contracts (UNCITRAL)**

21. In 2022, UNCITRAL undertook the development of default rules for data provision contracts. Since then, its Working Group has continued refining these rules, focusing on key legal aspects such as definitions, scope, methods of data provision, data conformity, usage rights, derived data, and available remedies. This work aims to enhance legal clarity and certainty in data transactions, especially in the context of cross-border data flows.

22. The resulting default rules are structured around specific legal issues rather than party-based obligations. While they do not address contract formation, they offer guidance on remedies in cases of non-performance. The rules are designed to be flexible and applicable to a wide range of data provision models, including decentralized and centralized data exchanges, as well as one-off, periodic, or continuous data delivery. Importantly, the rules recognize that different contractual arrangements may be necessary depending on which party retains control over the data.

23. Deliberations on this topic by the UNCITRAL Working Group will continue into the next year.

**B. JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS**

**a. Jurisdiction Project (HCCH)**

24. Justice Canada is participating in an HCCH Working Group that is developing draft provisions for an instrument on parallel proceedings, related action and judicial cooperation and communications when parallel proceedings and related actions are involved. CGAP has directed the Working Group to finalize the draft provisions and to focus on connecting factors to establish jurisdiction in parallel proceedings.

25. Following the Working Group's finalization of draft provisions, the Permanent Bureau of HCCH will conduct an open and inclusive written consultation process with a view of gathering feedback from future operators of the envisaged instrument, particularly from practitioners and judges. CGAP is expected to decide on the continuation of the project at its meeting in 2026.

## **C. INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY LAW**

### **a. Status of Children Project (HCCH)**

26. Justice Canada is participating in an HCCH Working Group on private international law matters related to legal parentage, including legal parentage resulting from an international surrogacy arrangement. The mandate of the Working Group is to explore provisions for an instrument that would aim to provide greater predictability, certainty, and continuity of legal parentage in international situations for all persons concerned, considering their human rights, including, for children, those set out in the *United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child*.

27. The Working Group has focused its work on provisions for an instrument on the recognition of judicial decisions on legal parentage and is now working towards the finalization of its report to CGAP on policy considerations in relation to the scope and content of the instrument and the feasibility of reaching consensus. This final report is expected to be presented in 2026, at which point CGAP will be expected to decide on the continuation of the project.

## **IV. INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS APPLICABLE TO CANADA AND POST-IMPLEMENTATION WORK**

### **A. INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL LAW**

#### **a. Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985, amended in 2006) (UNCITRAL) - Uniform International Commercial Arbitration Act (ULCC)**

28. The UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration, adopted in 1985, provides a comprehensive framework for all stages of the arbitral process—from the arbitration agreement to the recognition and enforcement of awards. Widely adopted across diverse legal and economic systems, it reflects a global consensus on key aspects of international arbitration.

29. In 1986, the Uniform Law Conference of Canada (ULCC) developed the Uniform International Commercial Arbitration Act (Uniform ICAA) to implement the Model Law and the 1958 New York Convention. The Uniform ICAA was adopted by all Canadian jurisdictions with only minor amendments.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

30. UNCITRAL revised the Model Law in 2006 to introduce a framework for interim measures and to modernise form requirements in line with contemporary international contract practices. The ULCC Working Group completed its review in 2013 and recommended adoption of the amendments.

31. The resulting Uniform Act has since been enacted in Ontario and British Columbia. It remains highly relevant, and other jurisdictions may wish to consider its adoption to implement the Convention and Model Law.

**b. Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (World Bank)**

32. The ICSID Convention, prepared under the auspices of the World Bank in 1965, establishes rules and a venue for conciliation or arbitration of international investment disputes. It applies to disputes between states and nationals (investors) of other state parties.

33. The ICSID Convention has 158 Contracting States including Canada (since December 1, 2013) and its main trading partners. The ICSID Convention has been implemented at the federal level and in Ontario, Saskatchewan, British Columbia, Newfoundland and Labrador, Nunavut, the Northwest Territories and Alberta.

34. Provisions on ICSID arbitration are commonly found in free trade agreements such as the Canada-United States-Mexico Agreement (CUSMA) and in foreign investment protection agreements (FIPAs). FIPAs are advance agreements by governments to submit investment disputes to ICSID arbitration. ICSID arbitration clauses may also be included in specific agreements between foreign investors and a state or province. Awards rendered by ICSID are enforceable in any state that is a party to the Convention as if they were final court judgments of that state.

**c. Canada-UK Convention on the Reciprocal Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters**

35. The *Canada-UK Convention on the Reciprocal Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters* is a bilateral convention between Canada and the United Kingdom and Northern Ireland. Judgments obtained in one country can be recognized and enforced in the other if certain conditions are met. It applies to all provinces and territories except for Quebec and Nunavut.

**d. Convention on International Interests in Mobile Equipment and its Protocols (UNIDROIT)**

36. The Convention and Aircraft Protocol entered into force internationally on March 1, 2006, and in Canada on April 1, 2013. The Convention applies in 86 countries, and the Protocol applies in 83 countries, including the United States and European Union countries. All Canadian provinces and territories have implemented the Convention and Aircraft Protocol by adopting the 2002 ULCC uniform implementing legislation. Legislation implementing the Convention and Aircraft Protocol is also found at the federal level for matters falling under federal jurisdiction.

37. The Convention provides a framework for the creation of international interests in diverse categories of mobile equipment, priority rules, and an international registry in which those interests can be registered. The Convention includes four protocols, one for each type of mobile equipment—aircraft equipment, mining, agricultural and construction equipment (“MAC” equipment), railway rolling stock and satellites and other space assets.

38. The Railway Protocol entered into force internationally on March 8, 2024. It does not apply to Canada. The Convention’s other protocols have not yet entered into force.

39. The application of the Convention and Aircraft Protocol in Canada will continue to be monitored. Stakeholders and provincial and territorial governments will be consulted on their interest in the MAC Protocol.

**B. JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS**

**a. 1961 Convention Abolishing the Requirement of Legalisation for Foreign Public Documents (HCCH)**

40. Canada is now among the Apostille Convention’s 127 Contracting States (in force in Canada as of January 11, 2024). Apostille certificates issued under the Convention facilitate the cross-border acceptance of public documents. Public documents, such as incorporation, marriage and birth certificates, diplomas and transcripts are used in cross-border contexts for a variety of business and personal reasons. The HCCH estimates that 30 to 35 million Apostilles are issued yearly. The HCCH has also stated that according to an independent experts’ study, the economic benefit of the Convention in Contracting States amounts to more than half a billion Euros each year.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

**b. 1965 Convention on Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil and Commercial Matters (HCCH)**

41. The Convention has 84 Contracting States, including Canada. It sets out mandatory rules for the service of judicial documents in civil or commercial matters from one Contracting State to another.

42. When Canada acceded to the Convention in 1988, provincial and territorial governments implemented it by amending their rules of civil procedure. In recent years, the Convention's application has increasingly been the object of litigation in Canada. The Uniform Rules on Service in a Contracting State to the *Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters* (Uniform Rules), adopted by the ULCC in November 2015 and recommended to jurisdictions for adoption, were developed in response to recent case law and to promote the uniform application of the Convention. Alberta, Saskatchewan, and Manitoba have amended their rules of civil procedure based on the Uniform Rules.

43. Justice Canada continues to monitor the functioning of the Convention in Canada. It also participates in work at the HCCH relating to the Convention, including work related to updating the *Practical Handbook on the Operation of the Service Convention*.

**C. INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY LAW**

**a. 2007 Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance (HCCH)**

44. The *Convention on the International Recovery of Child Support and Other Forms of Family Maintenance* (Child Support Convention) provides a legal framework for the cross-border recognition, enforcement, establishment, and modification of maintenance orders and agreements. It also establishes a system of administrative cooperation by requiring each Contracting State to designate a Central Authority. For federal states like Canada, the Child Support Convention allows the designation of a Central Authority for each territorial unit (i.e., province or territory) to which the Child Support Convention has been extended.

45. The Child Support Convention entered into force internationally on January 1, 2013. There are currently 55 contracting parties, including the United States and the European Union (an exception had been made for Denmark, but it subsequently joined), whose approval binds its member states.

46. To date, implementing legislation has been adopted through amendments to the federal *Divorce Act* (February 2024) and in three provinces:

- Manitoba: *The International Child Support and Family Maintenance (Hague Convention) Act* (June 2022)
- Ontario: *International Recovery of Child Support and Family Maintenance Convention Act, 2023* (June 2023)
- British Columbia: *Attorney General Statutes (Hague Convention on Child and Family Support) Amendment Act, 2022* (March 2022)

47. On October 27, 2023, Canada ratified the Child Support Convention and extended its application to Ontario and Manitoba. The Child Support Convention entered into force in these provinces on February 1, 2024. On November 27, 2023, Canada deposited a declaration extending the Child Support Convention to British Columbia, where it entered into force on March 1, 2024.

48. Nova Scotia adopted implementing legislation for the Child Support Convention in the *Financial Measures Act* (March 2025). The Child Support Convention will be extended to Nova Scotia, extending the application of the Child Support Convention in the near future.

49. Justice Canada remains actively involved in the work of the HCCH pertaining to this Convention including work on country profile, forms, and the international transfer of funds. The text of the Child Support Convention, explanatory report, and related practical documents are available on the HCCH website.

**b. 1980 Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (HCCH)**

50. The *Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction* (Child Abduction) provides a legal process through which a left-behind parent can apply for the prompt return of a child wrongfully removed to or retained in, another Contracting State in breach of custody rights. The Convention aims to protect children internationally from the harmful effects of their wrongful removal or retention and seeks to ensure that any determination of custody or access is made in the child's country of habitual residence by restoring the pre-abduction status quo. It also establishes a system of administrative cooperation by requiring each Contracting State to designate a Central Authority. These Authorities fulfil a series of duties under the Convention, such as assisting with locating the child, taking measures to secure the voluntary return of the child, providing information on the court application process, or initiating the judicial proceedings, etc. Central

## ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

Authorities also provide assistance in organizing or securing the effective exercise of rights of access to a child. For federal states like Canada, the Convention allows the designation of a Central Authority for each territorial unit (i.e., a province or territory).

51. There are currently 103 Contracting Parties to the Convention.

52. It was the first HCCH Convention to be ratified by Canada and brought into force in all Canadian jurisdictions.

53. Justice Canada continues to actively participate in HCCH work pertaining to this Convention and to advocate for other states to join this Convention, notably through the activities of the Malta Process.

54. The Malta Process is a dialogue between, on the one hand, States that are parties to at least one of the Child Abduction, Child Protection, or Child Support Conventions, and, on the other hand, non-contracting States whose legal systems are based on or inspired by Islamic law. It is aimed at improving co-operation in cross-border family law disputes involving children with a view to finding solutions in situations where the relevant international legal framework is not applicable.

55. The text of the Convention, explanatory report, and related practical documents are available on the HCCH website.

### **c. Convention on Protection of Children and Co-operation in Respect of Intercountry Adoption (HCCH)**

56. The *Convention on Protection of Children and Co-operation in Respect of Intercountry Adoption* (Adoption Convention) establishes procedural safeguards to ensure that international adoption takes place in the best interests of the child and with respect for their fundamental rights. It also establishes a system of cooperation between countries of origin and receiving countries to ensure the respect of those safeguards and thereby prevent the abduction, sale, and trafficking of children. Finally, it secures the recognition in Contracting States of adoptions made in accordance with the Convention.

57. There are currently 107 states that are parties to the Convention, including, since 1997, Canada where it applies in all the provinces and territories.

58. Justice Canada remains actively involved in the work of the HCCH pertaining to this Convention including updating the country profiles and as a participant in the Working Group on the Financial Aspects of Intercountry Adoption.

59. The text of the Convention, explanatory report, and related practical documents are available on the HCCH website.

#### **D. PROTECTION OF PROPERTY**

##### **a. Convention Providing a Uniform Law on the Form of an International Will (UNIDROIT)**

60. The *Convention Providing a Uniform Law on the Form of an International Will* establishes the form of an international will which is recognized as valid in all Contracting States without reference to the private international law rules concerning the validity of wills.

61. The Convention currently applies to 13 states, including Canada, where it has been extended to all jurisdictions apart from the Northwest Territories, Nunavut, and Quebec. The adoption by these jurisdictions of implementing legislation, such as the ULCC Uniform Wills Act (2015, as amended in 2016), and the subsequent extension of the application of the Convention to them would allow a greater number of Canadians to benefit from the Convention.

##### **b. Convention on the Law Applicable to Trusts and on their Recognition (HCCH)**

62. The *Convention on the Law Applicable to Trusts and on their Recognition* provides rules to determine the law applicable to foreign trusts. It also governs the recognition of these trusts by Contracting States.

63. The Convention is currently in force in 14 countries, including Canada, where it has been extended to all jurisdictions apart from Quebec, Nunavut, the Yukon, and the Northwest Territories. The adoption of implementing legislation, such as the ULCC Uniform Act to Implement the Convention on the Law Applicable to Trusts and on their Recognition (2020), by these jurisdictions and the subsequent extension of the application of the Convention to them would allow a greater number of Canadians to benefit from the Convention.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

**V. OTHER INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENTS OF INTEREST**

**A. INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL LAW**

**a. Model Clauses on Specialized Express Dispute Resolution (SPEDR Model Clauses) (UNCITRAL)**

64. The UNCITRAL Model Clauses on Specialized Express Dispute Resolution (SPEDR Model Clauses) were adopted by UNCITRAL in July 2024. They consist of four model clauses:

- the Model Clause on Highly Expedited Arbitration
- the Model Clause on Adjudication
- the Model Clause on Technical Advisor[s]
- the Model Clause on Confidentiality

65. The purpose of the SPEDR Model Clauses is to provide a legal framework for simplified and accelerated dispute resolution mechanisms involving a third party with relevant expertise. These mechanisms aim to resolve disputes within a short time frame and may not result in a final award, but the outcomes are still enforceable across borders. The SPEDR Model Clauses build upon the existing framework of the UNCITRAL Expedited Arbitration Rules (EARs).

66. As an extension of the EARs, the SPEDR Model Clauses offer a practical tool for commercial parties to consider including in their contracts.

**b. Early dismissal and preliminary determination in international commercial arbitration (UNCITRAL)**

67. In July 2023, UNCITRAL adopted a guidance text on early dismissal and preliminary determination in international commercial arbitration. This text was added as Note 21 to the *UNCITRAL Notes on Organizing Arbitral Proceedings (2016)*.

68. The revised *UNCITRAL Notes on Organizing Arbitral Proceedings (2016)* are intended to assist arbitration practitioners by providing an annotated list of issues that an arbitral tribunal may wish to address during the proceedings. These include selecting arbitration rules, determining the language and place of arbitration, addressing confidentiality, conducting hearings, taking evidence, and setting requirements for filing or delivering an award. The Notes are applicable in both *ad hoc* and institutional arbitrations.

69. The revised Notes are a valuable resource for parties to arbitration, arbitral tribunals, arbitral institutions, and for academic and training purposes.

### **c. Negotiable Cargo Documents (UNCITRAL)**

70. The United Nations Convention on Negotiable Cargo Documents creates a new type of document of title that will be known as a “negotiable cargo document.” The negotiable cargo document will perform a function analogous to a maritime bill of lading and could be used for the carriage of goods by any mode of transport in a multimodal or unimodal context.

71. Access to negotiable cargo documents will allow importers to sell their goods while they are in transit through the transfer of these documents. Importers will also be able to use these documents as collateral to finance the purchase of goods and to guarantee payment to exporters. In addition, the Convention will support the use of negotiable cargo documents issued electronically, which will help facilitate the transfer of these documents.

72. UNCITRAL adopted the Convention at its session in July 2025. It will be submitted to the UN General Assembly (UNGA) for adoption in fall 2025. If it is adopted by the UNGA, it will be open for signature and ratification by states. Consultations would be undertaken at an appropriate time to determine Canada’s interest in becoming a party to the Convention.

### **d. UNCITRAL/UNIDROIT Model Law on Warehouse Receipts**

73. The UNCITRAL/UNIDROIT Model Law on Warehouse Receipts was adopted by UNCITRAL in June 2024. The Model Law was developed as a joint project of UNCITRAL and UNIDROIT. It provides a legal framework that covers the private law aspects of a warehouse receipt system for adoption by states seeking to legislate or to reform their legislation in this area. It contemplates the issuance and transfer of both paper-based and electronic warehouse receipts on a medium-neutral and technology-neutral basis. This allows the use of central registries, distributed ledgers, platforms and other technologies for managing electronic warehouse receipts.

74. The Model Law may be a useful tool for jurisdictions in Canada wishing to modernise their warehouse receipt legislation. The Model Law will be available soon on the UNCITRAL website.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

**e. Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation and related modifications to the Model Law on International Commercial Mediation (UNCITRAL)**

75. In July 2018, UNCITRAL adopted two instruments aimed at promoting the use of mediation in resolving international disputes:

- the *Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation* (commonly known as the Singapore Convention), and
- the revised *UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation* (2018 Model Law), which updates the 2002 Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation.

76. These instruments establish a harmonized legal framework for the right to invoke and enforce international settlement agreements resulting from mediation.

77. Preliminary discussions will be undertaken to assess Canada's potential interest in becoming a party to the Convention and/or adopting the revised Model Law.

**f. United Nations Convention on the Use of Electronic Communications in International Contracts (UNCITRAL) – ULCC Uniform Electronic Communications Convention Implementation Act**

78. The *United Nations Convention on the Use of Electronic Communications in International Contracts* (ECC) recognizes the equivalence of paper and electronic communications in the conclusion and performance of contracts between parties located in different countries. The Convention applies to business-to-business transactions. The Convention entered into force internationally on March 1, 2013, and currently has 18 Contracting States.

79. In addition to providing substantive rules on the use of electronic communications for parties to international contracts, the Convention applies to the use of electronic communications in connection with the formation or performance of a contract to which existing international conventions apply. The Convention also applies to any international convention to which a state may become party. A state may, however, make a declaration of non-application of this Convention in respect of one or more Conventions to which it is or becomes a party. The Convention includes a federal state clause which would allow Canada to become party to it even if the Convention is not implemented in all Canadian jurisdictions.

80. Ontario and Saskatchewan have adopted legislation based on the *ULCC Uniform Electronic Communications Convention Implementation Act*. This uniform Act was

replaced in 2020 by the Uniform Act to Implement the United Nations Convention on the Use of Electronic Communications in International Contracts, which remains highly relevant. Provincial and territorial governments may wish to explore the potential advantages of implementing the Convention through its adoption.

**g. Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods and Protocol (UNCITRAL)**

81. The Convention and its amending Protocol grew out of the work of UNCITRAL to unify international sales law. They entered into force internationally on August 1, 1988. There are 30 countries that are parties to the Convention and 23 countries that are parties to the Convention as amended by the Protocol including, in both cases, our CUSMA trading partners. Canada is not a party to the Convention nor to the Convention as amended by the Protocol.

82. The Convention and its amending Protocol establish a standard limitation period of four years for the initiation of legal proceedings arising from contracts for the international sale of goods. They dovetail with the 1980 *United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods*, which is in force throughout Canada. There is substantial similarity between these conventions, in particular their scope of application, possible declarations and reservations, the federal-state clauses, and the final clauses.

83. In 1975–76, the ULCC adopted a uniform act to implement the 1974 Limitation Convention. In August 1998, it adopted the Uniform International Sales Conventions Act, which is designed to implement the *United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods* and the *Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods* and its amending Protocol. Nunavut and Ontario have adopted implementing legislation based on the 1998 Uniform Act.

84. The Uniform Act continues to hold significant relevance, and provincial and territorial governments may wish to explore the potential advantages of implementing the Convention.

**h. Model Law on Automated Contracting (MLAC) (UNCITRAL)**

85. At its 57th session in July 2024, UNCITRAL adopted the Model Law on Automated Contracting (MLAC). This was followed by the finalization of its Guide to Enactment during a Working Group session in November 2024.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

86. International developments on the Convention will be monitored in this regard.

87. The MLAC provides a legal framework to support the use of automation—including artificial intelligence, smart contracts, and machine-to-machine transactions—in international contracting. It complements existing electronic transaction laws, particularly the Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996) and the *United Nations Convention on the Use of Electronic Communications in International Contracts* (2005).

88. Key features of the MLAC include:

- Legal recognition of automated systems in contract formation and performance.
- Technology neutrality and non-discrimination against electronic means.
- Party autonomy, allowing parties to choose whether and how to use automation, within the bounds of mandatory law.

89. International developments on the Convention will be monitored.

**i. Convention on the Assignment of Receivables in International Trade (UNCITRAL)**

90. The Convention was adopted by UNCITRAL in 2001. The United States has been a party to the Convention since 2019, and Liberia has been party since 2005. It will come into force internationally when it has three more parties. In 2007, the ULCC adopted the Uniform Assignment of Receivables in International Trade Act.

91. The Convention seeks to facilitate financing by removing uncertainty encountered in various legal systems as to recognition and effects of assignments in which the assignor, the assignee, and the debtor are not in the same country.

92. International developments on the Convention will continue to be monitored.

**j. Identity Management and Trust Services (UNCITRAL)**

93. In July 2022, UNCITRAL adopted the Model Law on the Use and Cross-border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services. The text of the Model Law and accompanying explanatory notes are available on UNCITRAL's website.

94. Identity management refers to a set of processes used to manage the identification, authentication, and expression of consent by individuals and legal entities in online legal relationships. A trust service is an electronic service that ensures certain qualities of a data message. It includes methods for creating and managing electronic signatures, electronic

seals, electronic time stamps, website authentication, electronic archiving, and electronic registered delivery services.

95. International developments on the Convention will be monitored.

## **B. JUDICIAL COOPERATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS**

### **a. 2019 Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Judgments in Civil or Commercial Matters (HCCH)**

96. The Convention was adopted by the HCCH on July 2, 2019, and came into force internationally on September 1, 2023. It has 33 contracting parties including the EU member states (excluding Denmark), the United Kingdom, Ukraine, and Uruguay. In addition, Costa Rica, Israel, the Russian Federation, and the United States have signed the Convention.

97. The Convention facilitates the effective international circulation of judgments in civil or commercial matters. By setting forth commonly accepted conditions for recognition and enforcement—and agreed grounds for refusal—the Convention provides legal certainty and predictability to parties involved in cross-border transactions. In so doing, it also enhances access to justice (it reduces legal timeframes, costs, and risks in cross-border situations) and contributes to the creation of a national and international environment conducive to multilateral trade and investment. The Convention and the Explanatory Report on the Convention, which was prepared by the co-Rapporteurs Professors Geneviève Saumier (Canada), then of the Faculty of Law at McGill University, and Francisco Garcimartín (Spain), are available on the HCCH website.

98. Subject to a more detailed analysis, the Convention appears to be broadly compatible with existing Canadian law and not to pose any major difficulties that could not be addressed by way of declaration. If Canada were to become a party to the Convention, Canadian judgments would be more easily recognized and enforced in other Contracting States. Of note, the instrument contains clauses that would allow Canada to extend its application only to those provinces and territories that have adopted the implementing legislation.

99. International developments will continue to be monitored. Justice Canada will participate in ULCC's work on uniform implementing legislation.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

**b. 2005 Convention on Choice of Court Agreements (HCCH)**

100. The Convention sets rules for when a court must assume jurisdiction or refuse to do so where commercial parties have entered into an exclusive choice of court agreement. It also provides for the recognition and enforcement of resulting judgments and an option for Contracting States to agree on a reciprocal basis to recognize judgments based on a choice of court agreement that is not exclusive.

101. The Convention came into force internationally in 2015 and has 38 contracting parties, including the United Kingdom and all the member states of the European Union. The United States signed the Convention in 2009.

102. From a Canadian perspective, it is worth noting that the Convention:

1. provides a mechanism allowing a state to exclude specific matters from the application of the Convention;
2. allows a court recognizing a foreign judgment to reduce the amount of the foreign award in certain circumstances;
3. excludes maritime law, competition law and intellectual property from its primary scope; and
4. ensures that courts in Canada retain the power to transfer cases.

103. Although the Convention is quite limited in scope and allows Contracting States to create broad exceptions, the frequency of choice of court agreements in commercial matters makes the Convention a useful tool for commercial parties doing business across borders.

104. Two reports reviewing the Convention in light of Canadian civil and common law were presented to the ULCC in 2007 and remain useful sources for jurisdictions considering implementing it. Ontario and Saskatchewan have adopted implementing legislation based on the ULCC Uniform Act to Implement the Convention on Choice of Court Agreements. The Uniform Act continues to hold significant relevance, and provincial and territorial governments may wish to explore the potential advantages of implementing the Convention.

**c. Model Law on Recognition and Enforcement of Insolvency-related Judgments (UNCITRAL)**

105. UNCITRAL adopted the Model Law on Cross-border Recognition and Enforcement of Insolvency-related Judgments in July 2018. The Model Law aims to promote cross-border insolvency coordination and the effectiveness of court orders in foreign jurisdictions. In developing the Model Law, UNCITRAL considered several issues

including the types of judgments that should be covered, procedures for recognition, and grounds for refusing recognition. Canada's objectives were to ensure that there would be no inconsistency between the project and existing provincial and territorial legislative frameworks on the recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments and to promote the recognition of Canadian judgments abroad.

**d. Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters (HCCH)**

106. The Evidence Convention has 68 Contracting States including Canada's major trading partners. It seeks to facilitate and streamline the procedures for taking evidence abroad in civil or commercial matters by providing for the taking of evidence by means of Letters of Request and by diplomatic or consular agents and commissioners. It also establishes a Central Authority mechanism in each Contracting State to facilitate the transmission and processing of such requests.

107. Ongoing discussions with provinces, territories, and other stakeholders will help determine the level of domestic interest in the possibility of Canada becoming a party to the Convention.

**C. INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY LAW**

**a. 1996 Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children (HCCH)**

108. The *Convention on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children* (Child Protection Convention) was adopted in 1996. It establishes private international law rules that apply to a variety of cross-border matters involving the protection of children including the following: parental responsibility and its delegation; custody and access rights; guardianship, curatorship and analogous institutions; the designation and functions of any person or body having charge of the child's person or property, or representing or assisting the child; child welfare issues including the placement of the child in a foster family or in institutional care, or the provision of care by kafala or an analogous institution; the supervision by a public authority of the care of a child by any person having charge of the child; and the administration, conservation or disposal of the child's property.

ACTIVITIES AND PRIORITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IN  
INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE LAW

109. The Child Protection Convention came into force internationally in January 2002 and has 57 Contracting States, including all 27 states of the European Union, Australia, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. The ULCC adopted a Uniform Act to implement the Child Protection Convention in 2001 and a revised Uniform Act in 2019.

110. On May 23, 2017, Canada signed the Child Protection Convention. It was implemented federally as part of a package of amendments to the *Divorce Act* (S.C. 2019, c. 16). If the Child Protection Convention is ratified by Canada, these amendments would be brought into force to coincide with the coming into force of the Convention in Canada.

111. Canada remains actively involved in the work of the HCCH pertaining to this Convention including that of the working group on the country profile and model form. Justice Canada is engaged in a range of activities to promote the understanding of the Child Protection Convention and its benefits, including the organisation of regular FPT meetings on the subject matter. The text of the Convention, explanatory report, and related practical documents are available on the HCCH website.

**b. Convention on the International Protection of Adults (HCCH)**

112. The *Convention on the International Protection of Adults* came into force internationally in 2009 and has 16 Contracting States. Its purpose is to resolve private international law issues relating to the international protection of adults who, by reason of an impairment or insufficiency of their personal faculties, are not able to protect their interests. It does this by harmonizing private international law rules applicable to the protection of the person or the property of these adults and establishing a structure for effective co-operation on such cases between Contracting States.

113. The ULCC adopted a Uniform Act to implement the Convention in 2000 and a revised uniform act in 2019. Additionally, in 2016, the ULCC adopted the Uniform Interjurisdictional Recognition of Substitute Decision-Making Documents Act, which deals with the recognition of documents granting powers of representation – a matter also covered by the Convention. The Uniform Act provides two options to deal with the question of applicable law, one of which is consistent with the Convention.

114. Justice Canada participated in the HCCH Working Group that prepared various practical tools to assist with the implementation and operation of the Convention (they can be accessed on the HCCH website). Justice Canada consulted an informal FPT group, which it established in 2019, on the development of these tools.

115. The work on implementation with other implicated federal departments as well as provincial and territorial governments will continue.

#### **D. PROTECTION OF PROPERTY**

##### **a. Convention on Stolen or Illegally Exported Cultural Objects (UNIDROIT)**

116. The *Convention on the Return of Stolen or Illegally Exported Cultural Objects* was finalized in 1995. It sets out rules for the restitution or return of stolen or illegally exported cultural objects, subject to certain limitation periods. The Convention also provides for compensation of bona fide purchasers and addresses the issue of the proper jurisdiction in which to bring a claim. There are currently 54 countries that are parties to the Convention.

117. Model Provisions on State Ownership of Undiscovered Cultural Objects were developed by a Group of Experts convened by the UNESCO and UNIDROIT Secretariats. They are intended to be used in drafting new provisions or in adapting existing provisions governing state ownership of such objects. Furthermore, the Model Provisions seek to facilitate the restitution of cultural objects in case of unlawful removal and the implementation of the Convention.

118. The UNIDROIT Secretariat continues its efforts to promote the Convention and the Model Legislative Provisions in partnership with other intergovernmental organizations, such as UNESCO, with a view to protecting cultural objects and combating terrorism and transnational organized crime. Successful initiatives include the establishment in 2017 of an Informal Ratification Task Force as well as establishment of the 1995 UNIDROIT Convention Academic Project (UCAP), whose main objective is to promote a favourable legal environment for the restitution or return of stolen or illegally exported cultural objects through knowledge sharing and the dissemination of information about the Convention.

119. Assistance will be provided to the Department of Canadian Heritage on consultations related to the Convention when needed.

#### **CONCLUSION**

120. This report presented an overview of Justice Canada's activities in international private law. It also covered FPT and external cooperation in international private law, the current work of international organizations involved in this area of the law, and the status of the implementation of international private law instruments in Canada.